## Using THEMIS spectral data

**Deanne Rogers\* and Josh Bandfield** 

\*California Institute of Technology MC 150-21 Pasadena, CA 91125 drogers@gps.caltech.edu

## Overview

- Image selection; evaluating spectral variability within your study region
- Spectral analysis; defining/interpreting spectral units
- Mapping spectral units and further characterization

## Evaluating spectral variability (1)

- Select images from region of interest
- Ensure that areas of interest exhibit warm (ideally, >245 K) surface temperatures in the images selected
  - -To narrow the list, a quick way to start is to limit the min btemp, orbit range and/or Ls on the PDS database query page
  - -Eventually, you will want to derive brightness temperature from the final selection of radiance image(s)

#### Example data query for Ares Vallis



#### Results from data query

otal images found: <b>79</b>							
ID	Center Latitude	Center Longitude	Band Numbers	Duration	Min temp	Max temp	Description
01149005	9.47N	340.44E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	254.12	279.619	Ares Vallis and Aram Chaos
01249011	4.09N	335.88E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	242.886	269.68	MER Ares Vallis
01274002	5.75N	335.05E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	250.426	275.431	Ares Vallis
01511012	5.61N	339.38E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	60 s	248.902	271.367	Aram Chaos
1586007	5.59N	336.62E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	241.133	263.735	MER backup: Ares Vallis tributary
01611003	5.08N	335.53E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	242.211	268.778	MER backup: Ares Vallis tributary
01748008	2.15N	343.66E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	358 s	234.283	263.947	MER backup: Arabia Terra
01773013	0.91N	342.48E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	119 s	238.896	266.245	Chaotic terrain SE of Aram Chaos
01798006	6.20N	342.19E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	234.942	266.26	Ares Vallis
01823003	7.41N	341.36E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	358 s	236.008	264.699	Aram Chaos - Ares Vallis
01848010	5.01N	340.06E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	238.404	262.502	Aram Chaos
1873007	7.97N	339.47E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	238.205	263.636	Aram Chaos
01898005	9.94N	338.75E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	358 s	239.156	265.802	Aram Chaos - Ares Vallis
01948006	7.79N	336.48E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	238.931	264.924	MER: Ares Vallis tributary
01998012	8.14N	335.08E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	358 s	231.395	260.379	Western Arabia, Ares Vallis
2110006	2.10N	343.63E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	233.041	261.263	systematic mapping
02185005	9.57N	341.69E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	358 s	232.745	260.083	Systematic Mapping
02210005	8.49N	340.58E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	231.021	255.866	Systematic Mapping
02235006	8.99N	339.68E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	234.624	259.468	Aram Chaos
02260043	4.76N	338.15E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	9 s	230.647	252.445	5 deg day atmos
02285010	6.44N	337.40E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	120 s	226.33	256.074	Aram Chaos
02310005	6.15N	336.39E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	179 s	226.853	256.364	MER: Ares Vallis tributary
02335005	7.15N	335.54E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	239 s	228.226	259.479	MER: Ares Vallis tributary
02472043	4.79N	344.20E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	9 s	238.572	252.512	5 deg day atmos
02547009	6.38N	341.52E	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	119 s	228.551	256.08	Aram Chaos

**UNIVERSI** 

HOME | FAQ | DO

### Evaluating spectral variability (2)

- Process and stretch candidate images to resolve any spectral variations that might be present
  - Undrift & dewobble
  - Geometric projection
  - Rectify (remove "slant" from coregistered bands)
  - Deplaid
  - Radiance offset correction
  - Convert to emissivity
  - Process using PCA or DCS with your favorite bands
- Or, browse pre-processed 4-panel images as a start, and wait to run the above steps on the final selection of image(s)

### Spectrally-bland and spectrally-diverse areas



Ares Vallis (diverse)Nili Patera (diverse)Acidalia PlanitiaFrom the processed 4-panel images:DCS bands 8-7-5, band 9 brightness temperature

# Spectral analysis (1)

<u>Quantify spectral differences between color units identified</u> in DCS images

- Convert deplaided, radiance offset-corrected images to emissivity
- Average several (ideally, >100) emissivity spectra from the AOI that appears as a single color unit in the DCS image (avoid side edges of images when extracting spectra)
- Plot and compare average emissivity spectra to quantify actual difference in spectral emissivity between color units identified in the DCS image

#### Examples of spectral differences highlighted by decorrelation stretching

Example 1: Ares Vallis



Relative differences in emissivity are present between bands 5-9, between the three color units highlighted in the DCS stretch.



### Examples of spectral differences highlighted by decorrelation stretching



The DCS stretch in this case overemphasizes the spectral difference between color units, because there is little variability in the scene



### Spectral analysis (2)

<u>Understanding the spectral differences</u> <u>between color units</u>

- Compositional (surface)?
- Variable water ice (atmosphere)?
- Variable dust (atmosphere)? → unlikely if surfaces are near each other in horizontal distance and elevation

### Spectral analysis (3)

Spectral ratios are usually an easy way to distinguish surface spectral differences from differences due to spatially variable water ice

concentration

Example 1: Ares Vallis

A ratio of the average spectrum from the magenta unit with the average spectrum of the green unit matches a laboratory spectrum of olivine

(see *Hamilton and Christensen, Geology,* 2005 for another example)



### A spectral ratio of individual TES spectra on and off the magenta color unit confirms the presence of olivine spectral features at long wavelengths



Figure from Rogers et al., [2005]





## Spectral analysis (5)

Example 2 continued: Bosporus Planum

A ratio of the average spectrum from area 2 and from area 1 (likewise for area 4 and area 3) matches a TES derived spectrum of water ice



### Spectral analysis (6)



Ratios of a high-albedo and lowalbedo region will yield a close approximation of the surface emissivity of the low-albedo region

Example: Arabia Terra intracrater sand deposit



### Spectral analysis (7)

### If surface emissivity is a desired quantity:

- 1. Find spectrally uniform area within the image that is near the area(s) of interest in elevation and spatial distance
- 2. Determine surface emissivity of the uniform area using TES data
- 3. Convolve the TES surface emissivity spectrum to THEMIS spectral bandpasses
- 4. Divide the average THEMIS emissivity of uniform area by the degraded TES emissivity spectrum to derive the atmospheric component
- 5. Assume the atmospheric component is constant, and divide this from the entire image (or the image portion of interest)
- → This method does not remove small-scale spatial variations in water ice concentrations
- → If the spectrally uniform area is a high-albedo region, the surface dust endmember derived from EPF observations may be used in lieu of step 2



-Assume surface emissivity of the uniform area is equal to that of the global surface dust (derived by *Bandfield and Smith* [2003] with TES data) -Divide the average  $\varepsilon$  from the uniform area by the TES surface dust shape to derive the atmospheric contribution to this portion of the image





#### Comparison to TES Surface Type 1 (basalt)





#### Comparison to spectral ratio of dunes and floor





#### Comparison to spectral ratio of dunes and floor



## Spectral unit mapping (1)

- Once units are defined, and atmospheric dust and constant water ice contributions are removed, spectral unit mapping may be used to determine the spatial distribution of each spectral unit
- Differs from PCA or DCS in that it provides a more quantitative determination of the composition of pixels composed of mixtures of each spectral unit

# Spectral unit mapping (2)

- Linear deconvolution/spectral mixture analysis applied on a pixel-bypixel basis (suggest small image portions only)
- Limit number of endmembers to equal number of bands minus one (to account for the band where  $\epsilon$  was set to equal 1 during T-E separation)
- Include blackbody endmember to account for variations in spectral contrast within the scene
- Consider including surface dust and water ice endmembers (derived water ice contributions may be later removed from the surface emissivity cube)
- Surface endmembers may be derived from the scene (Slides 18-19) or may be lab- or TES-derived spectral endmembers

### Spectral unit mapping (3)



### Spectral unit mapping (4)

#### Example, continued: Ares Vallis results



Additional examples in Rogers et al., 2005 and Bandfield et al., 2004

### Further characterize spectral units

- May reconstitute (return to original projection) processed data (such as DCS emissivity, or spectral unit concentration maps)
- Processed, reconstituted data may be reincorporated into a GIS
- May need to shift data for small offsets between data products

# Spectral information folded back into spatial/stratigraphic context for geologic mapping



DCS emissivity was reprojected for incorporation into GIS with TES albedo, nighttime IR, and daytime IR

Geologic sketch map that includes mineralogic information

## Other notes

- It is informative to examine multiple images over the area of interest
- It is helpful to work on small portions (example, < 3000 lines at a time) of image for memoryintensive processes (emissivity, spectral unit mapping)
- Avoid side edges of rectified images (~30 pixels on each side) when extracting spectra

## Some references

#### 1. DCS

Gillespie, A. R., Remote Sens. Env., 42, 147-155, 1992

- Spectral mixture analysis of multispectral images Gillespie, A. R., Remote Sens. Env., 42, 137-145, 1992 Ramsey, M. S., JGR-Planets, 107 (E8), doi:10.1029/2001JE001827
- THEMIS atm correction and spectral unit mapping techniqes discussed in this presentation and applied examples: Bandfield, J. L.et al., 2004, JGR-Planets, 109(E10), doi:10.1029/2004JE002289 Bandfield, J. L.et al., 2004, JGR-Planets, 109(E10), doi:10.1029/2004JE002290 Christensen, P. R. et al., 2005, Nature, 436(28), 504-509. Rogers, A. D. et al., 2005, JGR-Planets, 110(E5), doi:10.1029/2005JE002399
- 4. Spectral ratios with TES and THEMIS and applied examples:

Ruff and Christensen, 2002, JGR-Planets, 107(E12), doi:10.1029/2001JE001580 Hamilton and Christensen, 2005, Geology, 33(6), 433-436. Johnson, J. R. et al., 2002, JGR-Planets, 107(E6), doi:10.1029/2000JE001405

5. Derivation of atmospheric endmembers and surface dust endmembers from TES data Bandfield, J. L. et al., 2000, JGR-Planets, 105(E4), 9573-9587 Bandfield and Smith, 2003, Icarus, 161(1), 47-65.